COMPREHENSIVE UNDERSTANDING OF MINING-INDUCED ENVIRONMENTAL RAMIFICATIONS AND ITS INFLUENCE ON LANDSCAPE DYNAMICS

Dissertation submitted in the partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Submitted by Ruthi Veibiakkim

Supervisor Prof. P. K. Joshi



Spatial Analysis and Informatics Lab School of Environmental Sciences Jawaharlal Nehru University New Delhi-110067

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"Some beautiful paths can't be discovered without getting lost."

– Erol Ozan

The adage "Some beautiful paths can't be discovered without getting lost" resonates strongly with me on this journey when I look back to the moment I first started this dissertation work. With minimal experience of research, the idea of undertaking research seemed intimidating, but indeed joining Spatial Analysis and Informatics Laboratory (SAIL) was the best choice I made to cultivate my research path. Not only did I learn a lot, but met the greatest of the best people here. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my research supervisor, Prof. P.K Joshi, for giving me the opportunity to do research and providing invaluable guidance throughout this research. His dynamism, vision, genuineness, and motivation have left an indelible impression on me and his unassuming approach to science and research is an inspiration. Working and studying under his supervision is a huge privilege and honour. I will retain his advice 'make your writings short but crisp, clear and significant' for the rest of my life.

I would also like to thank Prof. Paulraj Rajamani, Dean, School of Environmental Sciences (SES), for providing school resources and facilities during my course work. My sincere gratitude to Dr. Ashwani Kumar Tiwari, M.Sc. incharge, for always being available for advice, as well as Prof. Satish Chandra Garkoti, Prof. Usha Mina, Prof. N. Janardhana Raju, Prof. S. Mukherjee, Dr. Illora Ghosh, Prof. K. Mukhopadhyay, Dr. Meenakshi Dua, and other SES faculty members for providing me with valuable knowledge and a welcoming academic environment.

I am grateful to ESA (European Space Agency), Copernicus, and NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) for making the satellite datasets used in this study public.

The equipment from the Sustainable Natural Resource Use in Arctic and High Mountauns Areas (SUNRAISE) and Urban Resilience and Adaptation for India and Mongolia (URGENT) projects, co-funded by Erasus+ Programme of the European Union are duly acknowledged.

I am deeply indebted to Ms. Akshita Chaudhary, who led and guided me

throughout this journey, provided me with a secure haven and is more like an elder sister

to me than a mentor, is always willing to listen to me and give her significant time and

contributions to this research and for that, I cannot express my gratitude to her enough.

I am grateful to Dr. Susanta Mahato for always willing to assist me with technical work

and for sharing his valuable contributions in my work, as well as to Ms. Sonali Sharma

and Ms. Jayshree Das, who have been significant go-to people whenever I was stuck

with my analyses and had doubt. Their availability, tolerance and willingness to help

throughout the journey made it easier and more complete. I also appreciate the assistance

and cooperation of my lab mate and friend Mr. Utsav Biswas who has always been there

to help me and the other lab members of SAIL: Mr. Varun Singh, Mr. Ashish Suman,

Dr. Praveen Kumar and Mr. Ishwar Chand.

This endeavour would not have been possible without the unwavering support of

my parents, whom I adore, as well as my sister and brother, who were constantly

checking up on me, my health and my work. My best friend ULian has been a continual

source of encouragement. I am also grateful for my roommate Ruth for her late-night

feedback sessions and moral support. Sakshi, Suraj, UAnna, Che Rebati, Theja, Avilie,

Akishe, Nenei, Bhawana, Neha, and Rin, who have always been there for me, even when

I was unwell during the whole process of dissertation.

Thank you to everyone in the SES MSc Batch of 2023! Without you all, this

voyage would have been incomplete. Our solidarity and unwavering support for one

another is something to be cherished. I would also like to thank all the staff and

management at JNU's School of Environment Sciences for their generosity and kindness.

In closing, I would also like to thank myself for continuing to work despite my

illnesses and crisis situations.

Date: 12th June

New Delhi

(Ruthi Veibiakkim)

4 | Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
LIST OF FIGURES7
LIST OF TABLES8
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS9
CHAPTER 111
INTRODUCTION11
CHAPTER 214
LITERATURE REVIEW14
2.1 Mining
2.1.1 Environmental Impact of Mining
2.1.2 Conceptual Evolution of LULCC16
2.1.3 Landscape Dynamics studies
2.2 Research Objectives and Research Questions
CHAPTER 3
STUDY AREA19
3.1 Study area for systematic review
3.2 Study area for landscape dynamics
CHAPTER 4
METHODOLOGY23
4.1 Systematic review methodology23
4.1.1 Data Collection
4.1.2 Data Analysis
4.2 Landscape Dynamics Methodology27
4.2.1 Data Acquisition27
4.2.2 Data Analysis
CHAPTER 5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
5.1 A systematic review

5.1.1 State-wise distribution of studies	
5.1.2 Bibliographic Analysis	
5.1.3 Approaches used for studying environmental degradation caused by	
mining42	,
5.2 Analysing landscape dynamics using geospatial and GIS tools and	
techniques51	-
5.2.1 Spectral indices51	
5.2.2 LULC Classification)
5.2.3 Accuracy Assessment57	,
5.2.4 Change Detection	3
5.2.5 Forest Fragmentation60)
5.2.6 Landscape metrics	Ĺ
CHAPTER 6	ļ
CONCLUSION64	4
REFERENCES6	7
Annexure 1	7
FIELD PHOTOGRAPHS78	3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Study area (NER of India) for Systematic Review20
Figure 2 Overview of the study area for analysing landscape dynamics,21
Figure 3 PRISMA framework flow diagram for literature study selection process26
Figure 4 Methodological framework for landscape dynamics
Figure 5 Spatial distribution of different articles selected for systematic review38
Figure 6 Co-occurrence and co-authorship map. (A) main keywords with the highest occurrence in the studies performed (B) main relevant authors. Based on Web of
Science (WoS) data only39
Figure 7 Co-authorship item density map of relevant organisations/institutions from
where the studies were performed. Based on Web of Science data42
Figure 8 Percentage of numbers of papers reviewed for each approach to study
mining-induced environmental impact in North-east India
Figure 9 NDVI maps of the study area in Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya of three years
2016, 2019 and 202352
Figure 10 NDWI maps of the study area in Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya of three years 2016, 2019 and 2023
Figure 11 NDBI maps of the study area in Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya of three years 2016, 2019 and 2023
Figure 12 SAVI maps of the study area in Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya of three years
2016, 2019 and 202355
Figure 13 LULC maps of study area
Figure 14 Forest fragmentation of study area60
Figure 15 Industrial sites of the study area in Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya78
Figure 16 Limestone mining site showing forest to non-forest conversion
Figure 17 Open cast mining showing benches
Figure 18 Mines

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Criteria for selecting relevant literature in PRISMA network	24
Table 2 List of cumulative number of articles from Web of Science and number articles selected after screening.	
Table 3 Sentinel 2 spectral bands showing Wavelength and Resolution	28
Table 4 Details of Sentinel 2 satellite data used in this study	28
Table 5 Details of ASTER-DEM data used in this study	29
Table 6 Sentinel 2 spectral bands used in this study	31
Table 7 Image Classification details	34
Table 8 Description of Forest classes as categorised by Fragmentation tool	36
Table 9 Description of landscape metrics used for the study of forest fragmentation.	37
Table 10 Spectral Indices used in this study	51
Table 11 Descriptive statistics of NDVI values calculated for 2016, 2019 and 2023.	52
Table 12 Descriptive statistics of NDWI values calculated for 2016, 2019 and 2023	53
Table 13 Descriptive statistics of NDBI values calculated for 2016, 2019 and 2023.	54
Table 14 Descriptive statistics of SAVI values calculated for 2016, 2019 and 2023.	55
Table 15 LULC area statistics (2016, 2019, 2023)	56
Table 16 Overall accuracy and Kappa coefficient of classified maps	57
Table 17 Producers and users accuracy of each class (2016, 2019, 2023)	58
Table 18 Change dynamics of area under each LULC class	58
Table 19 Change matrix of different LULC class from 2016 to 2019	59
Table 20 Change matrix of different LULC class from 2019 to 2023	59
Table 21 Area statistics of Forest and Non-Forest class	61
Table 22 Forest fragmentation categories (2016, 2019, 2023)	61
Table 23 Class level landscape indices from 2016 to 2023	63

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AMD – Acid Mine Drainage

AoI — Area of Interest

ASTER – Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer

CBM – Coalbed Methane

CCSI – Columbia Center for Sustainable Investment
 CMPDI – Central Mine Planning and Design Institute
 CSIR – Council of Scientific and Industrial Research

DEM – Digital Elevation Model

EJH – East Jaintia Hills

ERDAS – Earth Resources Data Analysis System

ESA – European Space Agency

ESRI – Environmental Systems Research Institute

ETM+ – Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus

FCC – False Colour Composition

FCL - Forest Cover Loss

FE-SEM – Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope

FT-IR – Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy

GIS – Geographical Information System

GSI – Geological Survey of India
HRS – High Resolution Satellite

IIT – Indian Institute of Technology

IRS – Indian Remote SensingIVI – Importance Value Index

OA — Overall Accuracy

OPMAs – Open Pit Mining Areas

LFT – Landscape Fragmentation Tool

LPI – Largest Patch Index

LULC – Land Use and Land Cover

LULCC – Land Use and Land Cover Change

MECL – Mineral Exploration Corporation Limited

MLC – Maximum Likelihood Classifier

NASA – National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NIR – Near Infrared

NDVI – Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NDWI – Normalized Difference Water Index

NDBI – Normalized Difference Build-up Index

NER – North Eastern Region of India

OLI — Operational Land Imager

PLAND – Percentage of Landscape

PRISMA — Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

RS – Remote Sensing

SCCL – Singareni Collieries Company Limited

SAVI – Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index

SDGs – Sustainable Development Goals

SIG – Signature

SWIR — Short Wave Infra-red

TA/CA – Total (Class) Area

UNDP – United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO – United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UN-SDSN – United Nations -Sustainable Development Solutions Network

UTM – Universal Transverse Mercator

WCI – World Coal Institute

WGS – Word Geodetic System

WEF - World Economic Forum

WoS — Web of Science

XRD – X-Ray Diffraction







Last Page

The appended material is based on research carried out at the partner institution of URGENT Project, and has potentially utilised the equipment support, inputs based on course revised/developed and training programs (*lecture series, research seminar and webinars*) through the URGENT Project.

The document is part of thesis part of PhD/MSc/MA research work carried out at the Jawaharlal Nehru University. Purposefully limited pages are shared to avoid copyright and other issues. However, the full thesis can be shared on request.

The complete thesis can be obtained from Prof P K Joshi (<u>pkjoshi27@hotmail.com</u> or <u>pkjoshi@mail.jnu.ac.in</u>).