Characterizing urban ecosystems in the capital cities of the Western Himalaya

Thesis submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

M.M. ANEES



SPATIAL ANALYSIS AND INFORMATICS LAB SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY NEW DELHI-110067, INDIA



जवाहरलाल नेहरू विश्वविद्यालय Jawaharlal Nehru University



SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES New Delhi - 110067, INDIA

October, 2021

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the research work embodied in this thesis entitled 'Characterizing urban ecosystems in the capital cities of the Western Himalaya' is submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru University for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**. The work is original and has not been submitted in part or in full for any other degree or diploma to any other university/institution.

(Candidate)

Prof. P. K. Joshi

(Supervisor)

Prof. U. Kulshrestha

(Dean, SES)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The journey of completing this thesis marks a transformative phase in my life as it has taught me the best lessons from the most patient and supportive individuals. This transformative phase has shaped me as better student and more over a better person in life. Now, looking back in time, I am elated that I took this decision and embarked upon this tough, but fulfilling journey. I acknowledge all those people who supported and guided me. Working at the Spatial Analysis and Informatics Laboratory (SAIL), School of Environmental Sciences (SES), Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU) was a pleasure and excellent learning exercise.

For everything I have learned and unlearned, to everything I have little achieved in life till now, I am most indebted to my supervisor and mentor Prof. P.K. Joshi. He has been the true torch bearer who always showed the right path and constantly guided me with his rationale, knowledge and wisdom. I express my gratitude to him for his guidance which has always improved the quality of research work and my personal sphere. It was always his push which made me realize new limits to growth over these 5 years. Most of all, it is his unconventional ways of teaching and extracting the best out of his students that has truly made a better version of us. Outside the research, his humour, openness and liberty to be informal has always reassured me of easy sailing through this journey. After all, we all students are 'SAIL' ors under the guidance of this captain!

I am thankful to Prof. U.C. Kulshreshta (Dean, SES) for his support and encouragement throughout my work. I am also grateful to the Doctoral Research Committee (DRC) members Prof. J.K. Tripathi (SES) and Dr. Sreekesh (SSS, CSRD) for their support, guidance and motivation to complete this research work. I am especially thankful for their insightful comments and critical suggestions that have improved the quality of this thesis. I thank all the faculty members of SES who have provided valuable learnings, both inside and outside the classroom. I also acknowledge the support I have received from various staff members of the SES, who have been supporting me for all kind of official work while being here. I thank the support received from JNU administration, starting with the vice-chancellor, Prof. M. Jagadesh Kumar and Rectors who facilitated and promoted higher academic goals. Also, the staff members of Finance and Project cell, who's support through their administrative diligence are acknowledged and thanked.

I would also like to heartedly thank Dr. Ellen Banzhaf, who hosted me at Department of Urban and Environmental Sociology (SUSOZ), Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ) and provided me with every support required for my stay in the country. I am thankful for her critical comments and discussions which have shaped this thesis into scientifically more sound concept. Also, her support for personal struggles in a foreign land helped me stay comfortable and motivated during the tough times. I thank Prof. Dr. Sigrun Kabisch and Prof. Dr. Matthias Gross, Head of the Department and all other members of SUSOZ for supporting and facilitating me during my stay at UFZ, Leipzig, Germany. I appreciate the comfortable environment provided and help offered at times.

This doctoral work would not have been possible without the support of various institutions which provided valuable funds and promoted me excel without hardship. I would acknowledge the support from University Grants Commission (UGC) - Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) and Senior Research Fellowship (SRF) for funding me. I acknowledge the support received from Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for granting the bi-nationally supervised doctoral research grant that funded my travel and stay in Germany for a period of 14 months. The support received from the Sustainable Natural Resource Use in Arctic and High Mountainous Areas (SUNRAISE) and Urban Resilience and Adaptation for India and Mongolia (URGENT) projects, co-funded by the Erasmus⁺ Programme of the European Union have been instrumental in providing me with exposure trips to other countries. The learnings from the international summer schools on 'Monitoring and early warnings in mountain socialecological systems', organized by the Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, Russia and 'Urban+Mountains', organized by the University of Salzburg, Austria, in cooperation with the Society for Urban Ecology (SURE), Salzburg, Austria were enriching, interactive and knowledge gaining platform. Interactions with international and national experts and the student communities across the world as part of these projects have provided new outlooks and provided platforms to discuss new ideas. I thank the project partners with whom constructive discussions have shaped pathways for future engagement. Also, the equipment support received through these projects at the SES, JNU has helped in efficiently completing the laboratory work, thus are duly acknowledged. Institutional endorsements provide the essential backbone for any research. In this light, I would like to thank National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and European Space Agency (ESA). I acknowledge the free of cost data provided by them to carry out my research work.

I am grateful to the members of the *Spatial Analysis and Informatics Lab* (SAIL), who have been deeply involved with every stage of my research and provided me with constructive feedbacks and improved this research work. I would like to thank all the former and present lab members - Kundan, Praveen, Neha, Mani, Garima, Sonali, Akshita, Manjul, Jayshree and Shadman. Especially, the technical expertise provided by Mani and Garima in geospatial analysis is acknowledged and appreciated. I thank the extended members of this lab - Dr. Deepika, Dr. Roopam and Kanwal who have always spared time to decode problems and provide the best advice on skill sets I lacked. I also thank Mr. Ishwar Chand, who always promptly supported and helped in carrying out my research and other associated works.

I am thankful to Mansi, friend and colleague from UFZ, Germany, who constantly motivated me to timely write the thesis, proofread my work, brainstormed problems in programming languages, provided survival tips in foreign land and always advised to remain mentally strong. I am indebted for the invaluable time she spent in enhancing quality of this thesis, especially for helping with the design and execution of figures. The thesis would not have been completed in the present quality and at stipulated time without her consistent efforts and motivation. I am grateful to Dr. Jingxia who supported me in my work at UFZ and provided constant support through her expertise in the subject. Critical discussions with her have shaped and enhanced the quality of this work.

Special thanks to close friends of my batch, Zainab, Sunaina, Nancy, Akash, Pradeep and Raj who have always stood by in difficult times and shared this journey with love, laughter and always ready for a cup of tea. With them the journey has been less stressful and more joy filled over these 5 years. Surviving and enjoying through this journey without them would have been near impossible and I thank each of them for caring, supporting and understanding me in difficult times. I also want to thank Karthik and Sumit, my school friends who have allowed me to step outside the research world when needed and provided a joyful time.

Lastly, I thank my family for always being supportive and patient to my unavailability during all these years. I acknowledge their struggles and efforts to support me. I am thankful to them for standing by my decisions and always supporting me. Your trust in me has always given me the confidence to achieve higher.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLE	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
Chapter 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.5. Structure of the thesis	
Chapter 2	13
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	13
2.1. Evolution and application of urban remote sensing	13
2.2. Structural dynamism and its monitoring	15
INTRODUCTION 1.1. Background 1.2. Research gaps 1.3. Concepts and definitions 1.4. Conceptual framework, research objectives, and questions 1.5. Structure of the thesis Chapter 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE 2.1. Evolution and application of urban remote sensing 2.2. Structural dynamism and its monitoring 2.3. Urban green infrastructure 2.4. Inherent vulnerability Chapter 3 STUDY AREA 3.1. Western Himalaya 3.2. Dehradun 3.3. Shimla 3.4. Srinagar Chapter 4 SPATIO-TEMPORAL STRUCTURAL DYNAMISM OF CITIES AND ITS ENVIRO 4.1. Outline 4.2. Mapping land use land cover changes 4.2.1. Materials and methodology 4.2.2. Results 4.3. Change analysis 4.3.1. Materials and methodology 4.2.2. Results 4.3. Change analysis 4.3.1. Materials and methodology	19
2.4. Inherent vulnerability	23
Chapter 3	
3.4. Srinagar	33
Chapter 4	35
SPATIO-TEMPORAL STRUCTURAL DYNAMISM OF CITIES AND ITS ENVIRO)S35
	
4.3.1. Materials and methodology	
4.3.2. Results	53
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis	
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis	53
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis	53 56
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis	53 56
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis 4.4.1. Materials and methodology 4.4.2. Results 4.5. Growth type analysis 4.5.1. Materials and methodology	53 56 66
4.4. Composition and configuration analysis	53 66 66

Chapter 5	74
LANDSCAPE CHARACTERIZATION AND MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSI	S OF URBAN
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	74
5.1. Outline	74
5.2. Mapping urban green infrastructure classes	76
5.2.1. Materials and methodology	76
5.2.2. Results	80
5.3. Composition and configuration analysis	83
5.3.1. Materials and Methodology	83
5.3.2. Results	86
5.4. UGI quality assessment through landscape characterization	92
5.4.2. Results	94
5.5. Morphological analysis	101
5.5.1. Materials and Methodology	101
5.6. Learning outcomes and research implications	107
Chapter 6	109
IDENTIFICATION AND MAPPING OF URBAN INHERENT VULNERABIL	ITY 109
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Chapter 5 LANDSCAPE CHARACTERIZATION AND MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE 5.1. Outline 5.2. Mapping urban green infrastructure classes 5.2.1. Materials and methodology 5.2.2. Results 5.3. Composition and configuration analysis 5.3.1. Materials and Methodology 5.3.2. Results 5.4. UGI quality assessment through landscape characterization 5.4.1. Materials and Methodology 5.4.2. Results 5.5. Morphological analysis 5.5.1. Materials and Methodology 5.5.2. Results 5.6. Learning outcomes and research implications Chapter 6 IDENTIFICATION AND MAPPING OF URBAN INHERENT VULNERABILITY 6.1. Outline 6.2. Vulnerability framework and selection of indicators 6.2.1. Materials and Methodology 6.2.2. Results 6.3. Derivation of indicators 6.3.1. Materials and Methodology 6.3.2. Results 6.4. Inherent vulnerability index computation and identification of drivers 6.4.1. Materials and Methodology 6.5.2. Results 6.5. Sensitivity analysis 6.5. Sensitivity analysis 6.5. Sensitivity analysis 6.5. Results 6.6. Learning outcomes and research implications Chapter 7 DISCUSSION 7.1. Holistic understanding of urban ecosystems 7.2. Structural dynamism 7.3. Urban green infrastructure 7.4. Urban inherent vulnerability 7.5. Policies and programmes 7.6. Limitations Chapter 8 CONCLUSION 8.1. Main findings and contributions 8.2. Methodological advantages and contributions	116
6.3.1. Materials and Methodology	116
e.	
6.	
Chapter 7	138
DISCUSSION	138
<u> </u>	
Chapter 8	153
CONCLUSION	153
8.3. Framework for transferability and application	
8.4. Future research possibilities	

REFERENCES	158
APPENDIX	188
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	190

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Evolution of urban ecology paradigms (modified from Wu (2014); Pickett <i>et al.</i> (2012))
Figure 2 Conceptual framework
Figure 3 Location of selected three cities in the Western Himalaya of India30
Figure 4 Methodological flow diagram. The results include (1) image classification (2) built-up change analysis (3) composition and configuration analysis and (4) growth type analysis
Figure 5 LULC changes in different classes in the city area (CA) and outer region (OR) in T_1 (1999-2009) and T_2 (2009-2018) of Dehradun city
Figure 6 LULC changes in outer region (OR) and city area (CA) of Dehradun41
Figure 7 LULC changes in different classes in the city area (CA) and outer region (OR) in T_1 (1999-2009) and T_2 (2009-2018) of Shimla city
Figure 8 LULC changes in outer region (OR) and city area (CA) of Shimla44
Figure 9 LULC changes in different classes in the city area (CA) and outer region (OR) in T1 (1999-2009) and T2 (2009-2017) of Srinagar city45
Figure 10 LULC changes in outer region (OR) and city area (CA) of Srinagar46
Figure 11 Urban expansion rate and intensity in wards of Dehradun city in T_1 and T_2 50
Figure 12 Urban expansion rate and intensity in wards of Shimla city in T ₁ and T ₂ 51
Figure 13 Urban expansion rate and intensity in wards of Srinagar city in T_1 and T_2 52
Figure 14 Largest patch index (LPI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Dehradun city
Figure 15 Largest shape index (LSI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Dehradun city
Figure 16 Area-Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension (AWMPFD) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Dehradun city
Figure 17 Aggregation index (AI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Dehradun city
Figure 18 Largest patch index (LPI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Shimla city
Figure 19 Largest shape index (LSI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Shimla city.

Figure	20	Area-Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension (AWMPFD) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Shimla city
Figure	21	Aggregation index (AI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Shimla city
Figure	22	Largest patch index (LPI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Srinagar city
Figure	23	Largest shape index (LSI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Srinagar city
Figure	24	Area-Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension (AWMPFD) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Srinagar city65
Figure	25	Aggregation index (AI) change at 500 m cell-size with enlarged view of Srinagar city65
Figure	26	Spatiotemporal and percentage distribution of growth types in Dehradun city and its surroundings
Figure	27	Spatiotemporal and percentage distribution of growth types in Shimla city and its surroundings
Figure	28	Spatiotemporal and percentage distribution of growth types in Srinagar city and its surroundings
Figure	29	Methodological flow diagram. The main results produced include (1) image classification (2) composition and configuration analysis (3) UGI quality assessment and (4) MSPA structural classes
Figure	30	Image classification methodology flow diagram
Figure	31	Land Use Land Cover (LULC) and Green Infrastructure (GI) of (a) Dehradun (b) Shimla and (c) Srinagar81
Figure	32	Ward-level spatial variation of landscape metrics in Dehradun
Figure	33	Ward-level spatial variation of landscape metrics in Shimla
Figure	34	Ward-level spatial variation of landscape metrics in Srinagar90
Figure	35	Box-plot showing the variation of landscape metrics among the three cities92
Figure	36	UGIQ index values according to the ward number for respective cities99
Figure	37	Urban Green Infrastructure Quality (UGIQ) spatial variation at ward-level in respective cities
Figure	38	Spatial distribution of the city-level structural classes for (a) Dehradun (b) Shimla and (c) Srinagar and (d) their percentage distribution

Figure 39	Percentage distribution of MSPA classes in low and high quality wards of the three cities
Figure 40	Spatial variation of structural classes in selected low and high UGIQ wards of the respective cities
Figure 41	Methodological flow diagram. The main results produced include (1) vulnerability framework (2) indicator derivation (3) inherent vulnerability index and (4) sensitivity analysis
Figure 42	Vulnerability framework for inherent vulnerability assessment
Figure 43	Input data for biophysical component- (a) built-up area, (b) elevation and (c) slope of the three cities
Figure 44	Input data for biophysical component- (a) LST and (b) NDVI of the three cities.123
Figure 45	IVI index values according to the ward number for respective cities
Figure 46	Inherent Vulnerability Index (IVI) spatial variation at ward-level in respective cities
Figure 47	PCA derived latent factors (PCs) with respective indicators contributing the most in defining the factor
Figure 48	Spatial variation of selected latent factors across the three cities
Figure 49	Comparison of M ₂ and M ₃ methodologies with M ₁ used to compute the original IVI
Figure 50	Integrated framework for efficient urban planning and management with focus on three components of urban ecosystem

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Definition of keywords used
Table 2 List of studies using geospatial tools to analyse the urban growth and associated changes in ecological and biophysical parameters in the three cities –Dehradun, Shimla, and Srinagar
Table 3 Dataset and data analysis tools used for mapping and assessment of urban dynamics
Table 4 Details of the satellite data used
Table 5 List of specific LULC classes extracted in each city with their respective description
Table 6 LULC classes and their respective accuracy assessment statistics for each city4
Table 7 Definition and measured attribute of selected landscape metrics (after McGarial <i>et al.</i> , (1995); McGarigal, (2015))
Table 8 Formulae and range of selected landscape metrics (after McGarial <i>et al.</i> , (1995); McGarigal, (2015))
Table 9 List of the literature referred for selection of landscape metrics
Table 10 Growth type classes according to the heuristic rule set
Table 11 Data requirements and data analysis tools used for mapping and assessment of UGI
Table 12 Details of the satellite data used
Table 13 Spatial and spectral resolution of Sentinel-2 bands used in the study (ESA, 2015).78
Table 14 LULC classes and their respective accuracy assessment statistics for each city82
Table 15 Definition and measured attribute of selected landscape metrics (after McGarial <i>et al.</i> , (1995); McGarigal, (2015))
Table 16 Formulae and range of selected landscape metrics (after McGarial <i>et al.</i> , (1995); McGarigal, (2015))
Table 17 List of the literature referred for selection of landscape metrics
Table 18 Multicollineairty test (step-wise regression analysis) for wards of Dehradun city. UGI quality index is the dependent variable94
Table 19 Multicollineairt test (step-wise regression analysis) for wards of Shimla city. UGI
quality index is the dependent variable95

Table 21 Loading values of the selected components for each city	97
Table 22 PCA showing the amount of variance explained by each principal component with Eigen value (in bold) above 1 for respective cities	
Table 23 Description of UGIQ categories across the cities	101
Table 24 MSPA structural classes and their definitions (source: Wang, (2020; Wang, Xi al., (2019) adapted from Vogt et al., (2017))	
Table 25 Data requirements and data analysis tools used for assessment of inherent vulnerability index	111
Table 26 List of the literature referred for indicator selection	115
Table 27 List of components, sub-components, and indicators with rationale and role of indicator	
Table 28 Changes in Cronbach's Alpha values in respective cities on the deletion of a spindicator	
Table 29 PCA showing the amount of variance explained by each principal component with Eigen value above 1 for respective cities	
Table 30 Description of IVI categories across the cities	130

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI Aggregation index

AMRUT Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation

ANN Artificial Neural Network AR5 Fifth Assessment Report

AREA MN Mean Patch Size

ASTER Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer

AWMPFD Area-Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension

BC₁ Band Combination 1
BC₂ Band Combination 2
BOA Bottom of Atmosphere
CA Cellular automata
C-alpha Cronbach's Alpha

CAS Complex Adaptive Systems
CL_{RE} Red edge chlorophyll index

CONTIG Contiguity Index

DAY-NULM Deendayal Antyodaya Yojana-National Urban Livelihoods Mission

DEM Digital Elevation Model

DMPS Defence Meteorological Satellite Program

ED Edge Density

EECONET European Ecological Network

ENN MN Mean Euclidean nearest-neighbour distance

ESA European Space Agency
EVD Eigenvalue Decomposition

FLAASH Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Hypercubes

FRAC AM Area-Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GHSL Global Human Settlement Layer

GI Green Infrastructure

GIS Geographical Information System

GlobeLand30 Global Land Cover product

HIGS Hazard-Infrastructure-Governance-Socio-economic characterises

IPCC International Panel on Climate Change

IRS Indian Remote Sensing satellites
ISRO Indian Space Research Organization

IVI Inherent Vulnerability Index

LISS Linear Imaging Self Scanning Sensor

LM Landscape Metrics
LPI Largest Patch Index
LSI Landscape Shape Index
LST Land Surface Temperature
LULC Land Use Land Cover

MC Markov Chain

MC Municipal Corporation
MESH Effective Mesh Size

MEVI Modified Enhanced Vegetation Index MLC Maximum Likelihood Classifier

MODIS Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

MSI Multispectral Instrument

MSPA Morphological Spatial Pattern Analysis

MSRRE Modified Red Edge Simple Ratio

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NDRE Normalized Difference Red Edge

NDVI Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NP Number of patches

NUPF National Urban Policy Framework NUSP National Urban Sanitation Policy

OLI Operational Land Imager PC Principal Components

PCA Principle Component Analysis

PD Patch Density

PMAY Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana

PPS Proportional to Size

RE Red-edge

RESAVI Red-edge Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index

SEZs Special Economic Zones
SVD Single Value Decomposition
SVM Support Vector Machine
TAR Third Assessment Report

TM Thematic Mapper
TOA Top of Atmosphere
UA Urban Agglomeration
UGL Urban Green Infrastructure

UGI Urban Green Infrastructure

UGIQ Urban Green Infrastructure Quality

UHI Urban Heat Island
ULBs Urban Local Bodies

USGS United States Geological Survey

VHR Very High Resolution







Last Page

The appended material is based on research carried out at the partner institution of URGENT Project, and has potentially utilised the equipment support, inputs based on course revised/developed and training programs (*lecture series, research seminar and webinars*) through the URGENT Project.

The document is part of thesis part of PhD/MSc/MA research work carried out at the Jawaharlal Nehru University. Purposefully limited pages are shared to avoid copyright and other issues. However, the full thesis can be shared on request.

The complete thesis can be obtained from Prof P K Joshi (<u>pkjoshi27@hotmail.com</u> or <u>pkjoshi@mail.jnu.ac.in</u>).