# Regulating ecosystem services in urbanising landscapes of Western Himalaya

Thesis submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru University in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of degree of

### **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

#### **SONALI SHARMA**



SPATIAL ANALYSIS AND INFORMATICS LAB SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY NEW DELHI-110067, INDIA

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I consider myself lucky to have been attached and work under the guidance of my great supervisor, Prof. P.K. Joshi, Professor, School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India. His continuous inspirations, guidance, resourcefulness, supports, productive ideas, and regular monitoring helped me exceedingly to carry out the research and made me achieve this milestone. His mentorship has shaped my understanding of the subject matter and has equipped me with the necessary skills to navigate challenges and overcome obstacles. I am deeply grateful to him for imparting invaluable knowledge and teachings that has positively impacted both my professional and personal life.

I am deeply grateful to the members of the Doctoral Research Committee (DRC), Prof. Krishan Kumar (SES) and Prof. Dipendra Nath Das (SSS, CSRD), for their invaluable support, guidance, and motivation throughout the completion of this research. Their invaluable input, in the form of insightful comments, critical suggestions and constructive ideas, has significantly enhanced the quality of this thesis.

I am deeply grateful to Prof. Dr. Christine Fürst for her warm hospitality during my stay at the Department of Sustainable Landscape Development, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany. Her invaluable support, critical comments, inputs, and inspirational guidance greatly enhanced the scientific integrity of this thesis. I would also like to highly acknowledge her support with the technical and financial aspects of my research.

I would also like to express my gratitude and appreciation for the members of the Spatial Analysis and Informatics Lab (SAIL) for their thoughtful questions, constructive critiques and helpfulness throughout the years. I would like to thank all the former as well as present lab members – Kundan, Dr. Susanta, Dr. Anees, Dr. Praveen, Neha, Mani, Akshita, Jayshree, Varun and Ashish. Particularly, my sincere gratitude goes for Dr. Anees for his valuable quality assessments and very instructive solutions to my research problems. A special thanks also goes to Mr. Ishwar Chand, for arranging all needed logistics.

The journey of my PhD owes a deep gratitude for the friendship and love of Krati Sharma, Rashmi Singh Yadav, Sonali Rajput and Anita Gautam. Krati, in particular, guided and inspired me, profoundly influencing my personal growth. The support, friendship, and consistent presence of Arindan Mandal shaped my way throughout the good and hard times of my PhD journey. It would be difficult to forget the friendship and support of Janina Kleeman, Marcin Spyra, Hongmi Koo and Nica Claudia during my year-long stay in Germany. Big thanks for the coffee and cake breaks, and all the travel times with Nimisha Srivastava, Yuanyuan Chen, Somayeh Mohammadi Hamidi and Robert Starte that helped me to cherish my stay in Germany better.

Nothing would be possible without my family. I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my mother Mrs. Monika Sharma for her endless love, support, concern and motivation. I am deeply appreciative of the consistent support, routine-lessons and love provided by my father Mr. Nand Kishor Sharma. My brother, Sagar, has been my consistent support pillar for my parents and have aided and inspired me throughout this endeavor. Last but not the least, to my late grandfather-your presence and unwavering belief in my abilities have been invaluable, and I will forever stay grateful for your unwavering love and support.

Although it is not possible to acknowledge everyone individually, please know that your contributions, support, and encouragement have played an integral role in the successful completion of my doctoral studies. Thank you all for being a part of this incredible journey.

I owe the successful completion of this doctoral work to the support of numerous institutions that provided financial support and facilitated my progress without difficulty. I would like to express my gratitude to the University Grants Commission (UGC) for their Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) and Senior Research Fellowship (SRF), which provided the necessary funds for my research. Additionally, I am thankful to the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for awarding me the bi-nationally supervised doctoral research grant, enabling me to travel to and reside in Germany for 12 months. The support received from the Sustainable Natural Resource Use in Arctic and High Mountainous Areas (SUNRAISE) and Urban Resilience and Adaptation for India and Mongolia (URGENT) projects, co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

have been instrumental in providing me with exposure trips to Austria. The learnings from the international summer schools on 'Urban+Mountains', organized by the University of Salzburg, Austria, in cooperation with the Society for Urban Ecology (SURE), Salzburg, Austria were enriching, interactive and knowledge gaining platform. Interactions with international and national experts and the student communities across the world as part of these projects have provided new outlooks and provided platforms to discuss new ideas. I thank the project partners with whom constructive discussions have shaped pathways for future engagement. Also, the equipment support received through these projects at the SES, JNU has helped in efficiently completing the laboratory work, thus are duly acknowledged. Institutional endorsements provide the essential backbone for any research. With this in mind, I extend my gratitude to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the European Space Agency (ESA), India Meteorological Department (IMD), Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India, Soil and Land Use Survey of India (SLUSI), Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO), and Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) for their invaluable support. I am particularly thankful for the free-of-cost data they provided, which was instrumental in conducting my research work.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

AC	CKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TA	BLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIS	ST OF FIGURES	ix
LIS	ST OF TABLES	xiii
LIS	ST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
Ch	apter 1	1
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and context of research	1
1.2	Main concepts used in this research	3
1.3	Conceptual framework	13
1.4	Research objective and questions	16
1.5	Structure of the thesis	17
Ch	apter 2	20
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	20
2.1	Overview	20
2.2	Spatial structure and dynamism	22
2.3	Regulating Ecosystem Services	26
2.4	Integrating ESs in landscape planning	29
2.5	Research gaps and relevance of the study	32
Ch	apter 3	37
3.	STUDY AREA	37
3.1	Western Himalaya	37
3.2	Dharamsala	40
3.3	Pithoragarh	42
Ch	apter 4	45
4.	COMPOSITION AND CONFIGURATION IN URBANISING LANDSCAPES	45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Land use land cover classification	48

4.2.1 Materials and methodology	48
4.2.2 Results	49
4.3 Intensity and Stationarity analysis	57
4.3.1 Material and Methodology	57
4.3.2 Results	60
4.4 Composition and Configuration Analysis	68
4.4.1 Material and Methodology	68
4.4.2 Results	71
4.5 Learning outcomes and research implications	80
Chapter 5	82
5. REGULATING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES SUPPLY CAPACITY	82
5.1 Introduction	82
5.2 Biophysical valuation of regulating ecosystem services	84
5.2.1 Material and methods	84
5.2.2 Results	95
5.3 Hotspot analysis	109
5.3.1 Material and methods	109
5.3.2 Results	111
5.4 Ecological priority sites for conservation and restoration	116
5.4.1 Material and methods	116
5.4.2 Results	117
5.5 Ecosystem service bundle analysis	120
5.5.1 Material and methods	120
5.5.2 Results	121
5.6 Learning outcomes and research implications	127
Chapter 6	129
6. FUTURE LAND USE AND CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS	129
6.1 Introduction	129
6.2 Possible land use land cover trajectories	131
6.2.1 Materials and methods	131

6.2.2 Results	
6.3 Exploring future climate projections	
6.3.1 Material and methodology	
6.3.2 Results	140
6.4 Mapping RESs under future conditions	141
6.4.1 Material and methodology	141
6.4.2 Results	
6.5 Learning outcomes and key findings	
Chapter 7	157
7. DISCUSSION	157
7.1 RESs in mountainous urban landscapes	
7.2 Structural dynamism	
7.3 Functional dynamism	
7.4 Future landscape planning	
7.5 Policy implications	
Chapter 8	174
8. CONCLUSION	174
8.1 Main findings and research contributions	
8.2 Framework for Urban Landscape Development in Himalaya	a177
8.2.1 Assessment	
8.2.2 Intervention	
8.3 Recommendations for future research	
REFERENCES	182
APPENDIX – I	246
APPENDIX – II	247
5A.1 Validating accuracy of predicted NDVI	248
5A.2 Determining candidate resolution for bundle analysis	
5A.3 Determining optimal number of clusters for ecosystem by	oundle analysis255
APPENDIX – III	262

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1 Conceptual framework adopted for monitoring regulating ecosystem services in
urbanizing landscapes of western Himalaya
Figure 3.1 Map of Indian western Himalayan states and union territories
Figure 3.2 Criteria (using principle of exclusion) for selection of representative cities of western
Himalaya for the research work
Figure 3.3 Geographic location of Dharamsala in Himachal Pradesh state of Indian western
Himalaya: Satellite image (Sentinel 2a, 03rd April 2019) for sub-watershed limits overlaid by the
city administrative boundary (yellow colour)
Figure 3.4 Geographic location of Pithoragarh in Uttarakhand state of Indian western Himalaya
Satellite image (Sentinel 2a, 29th April 2019) for sub-watershed limits overlaid by the city
administrative boundary (yellow colour)
Figure 4.1 Methodological flow diagram illustrating the research methodology employed to
address objective 1
Figure 4.2 Distribution of land use land cover in Dharamsala city and outer zone
Figure 4.3 Distribution of land use land cover (%) at city and outer zone level in reference years
in Dharamsala
Figure 4.4 Distribution of varied land use land cover in Pithoragarh city and outer zone 54
Figure 4.5 Distribution of land use land cover (%) at city and outer zone level in reference years
in Pithoragarh55
Figure 4.6 Interval level changes in terms of intensity during three study periods in Dharamsala
The uniform intensity at the outer zone level is depicted by a black dashed line, while at the city
level, it is represented by a solid line. Respective intensity values for each level are placed next to
the corresponding lines
Figure 4.7 Category level changes in terms of intensity during three-time intervals in Dharamsala
at the city and outer zone level. FO: forest, VEG: vegetation, GL: glacier, BL: barren land, CL
cropland, OA: open area, and BU: built-up. The black dashed line represents the uniform intensity
and its value is placed next to it

Figure 4.8 Transition level changes in terms of intensity during three time periods in Dharamsala
at the city and outer zone level. The black dashed line represents the uniform intensity, and its
value is placed next to it
Figure 4.9 Interval level changes in terms of intensity during three time periods in Pithoragarh.
The uniform intensity at the outer zone level is depicted by a black dashed line, while at the city
level, it is represented by a solid line. Respective intensity values for each level are placed next to
the corresponding lines
Figure 4.10 Category level changes in terms of intensity during three time periods in Pithoragarh
at the city and outer zone level. FO: forest, VEG: vegetation, WB: waterbody, BL: barren land,
CL: cropland, OA: open area, and BU: built-up. The black dashed line represents the uniform
intensity, and its value is placed next to it
Figure 4.11 Transition level changes in terms of intensity during three time periods in Pithoragarh
at the city and outer zone level
Figure 4.12 Temporal distribution of selected landscape metrics quantified at city and outer zone
landscape level of Dharamsala72
Figure 4.13 Spatial distribution of selected landscape metrics at 250 m cell size in 2002, 2010,
2016, and 2021 in Dharamsala and its outer zone
Figure 4.14 Temporal distribution of selected landscape metrics quantified at city and outer zone
landscape level of Pithoragarh
Figure 4.15 Spatial distribution of selected landscape metrics at 100 m cell size in 2001, 2008,
2016, and 2021 in Pithoragarh and its outer zone
Figure 5.1 Methodological flow chart representing the general structure of ecosystem services
assessment for objective 2
Figure 5.2 Soil texture, Elevation (meter) and Slope (degree) maps of Dharamsala (row 1) and
Pithoragarh (row 2)85
Figure 5.3 Spatial patterns and variations in four physical RESs in Dharamsala and its outer zone.
Column 1 to 4 represent the distribution of Soil Erosion Regulation (SER), Flood Regulation (FR),
Carbon Sequestration (CS) and Local Climate regulation (LCR), respectively. Row 1 to 4 represent
year 2002, 2010, 2016 and 2021, respectively

Figure 5.4 Spatial patterns and variations in four physical RESs in Pithoragarh and its outer zone.
Column 1 to 4 represent the distribution of Soil Erosion Regulation (SER), Flood Regulation (FR),
Carbon Sequestration (CS) and Local Climate regulation (LCR), respectively. Row 1 to 4 represent
year 2001, 2008, 2016 and 2021, respectively
Figure 5.5 Spatial patterns of hotspots and coldspots of each RESs in Dharamsala and its outer
zone for year 2002 (row 1) and 2021 (row 2). Here SER is Soil Erosion Regulation, FR is Flood
Regulation, CS is Carbon Sequestration and LCR is Local Climate Regulation. Superscripts double
star (**) and single star (*) denote hotspots or coldspots that were significant at 99% and 95%
level, respectively, while the one with no superscript was significant at 90%113
Figure 5.6 Spatial patterns of hotspots and coldspots of each RESs in Pithoragarh and its outer
zone for year 2001 (row 1) and 2021 (row 2). Here SER is Soil Erosion Regulation, FR is Flood
Regulation, CS is Carbon Sequestration and LCR is Local Climate Regulation. Superscripts double
star (**) and single star (*) denote hotspots or coldspots that were significant at 99% and 95%
level, respectively, while the one with no superscript was significant at 90%116
Figure 5.7 Spatial agreement between hotspots and coldspot maps of each RESs indicated by
respective numbers, and identified EPCS and EPRS in Dharamsala and outer zone118
Figure 5.8 Spatial agreement between hotspots and coldspot maps of each RESs indicated by
respective numbers, and identified EPCS and EPRS in Dharamsala and outer zone
Figure 5.9 Spatial distribution of bundles over study period in Dharamsala and outer zone 123
Figure 5.10 Flower diagram illustrating average distribution of RESs in each bundle over the study
period in Dharamsala and outer zone
Figure 5.11 Spatial distribution of bundles over study period in Pithoragarh and outer zone 126
Figure 5.12 Flower diagram illustrating average distribution of RESs in each bundle over the study
period in Pithoragarh and outer zone
Figure 6.1 Methodological flow chart representing the general structure of future ecosystem
services assessment for objective 3
Figure 6.2 Spatial distribution of various LULC classes under three land use land cover trajectories
in Dharamsala and outer zone for the year 2040
Figure 6.3 Spatial distribution of various LULC classes under three land use land cover trajectories
in Pithoragarh and outer zone for the year 2040.

Figure 6.4 Spatial distribution of SER, FR(a) and NPP, LCR (b) in 2040 under different land use
land cover trajectories and climate scenarios in Dharamsala and its outer zone
Figure 6.5 Spatial distribution of SER, FR(a) and NPP, LCR (b) in 2040 under different land use
land cover trajectories and climate scenarios in Pithoragarh and its outer zone
Figure 8.1 Decision making framework for urban landscape development in Himalaya 179
Figure 5A.1 Raw curve number (column 1) vs slope adjusted curve number (column 2) values for
Dharamsala and Pithoragarh248
Figure 5A.2 Scatter plot of the original Landsat NDVI vs. ESTARFM Predicted NDVI value at
5000 random points
Figure 5A.3 Visual comparisons among Predicted, original Landsat and MODIS NDVI images
The inset map emphasizing to the built-up area of urban center – Dharamsala
Figure 5A.4 Scatter plot of the original Landsat NDVI vs. ESTARFM Predicted NDVI value at
5000 random points
Figure 5A.5 Visual comparisons among Predicted, original Landsat and MODIS NDVI images
The inset map emphasizing to the built-up area of urban center – Pithoragarh
Figure 5A.6 Moran's I and Coefficient of variation (CV) in Dharamsala at selected candidate
resolutions
Figure 5A.7 Moran's I and Coefficient of variation (CV) in Pithoragarh at selected candidate
resolutions
Figure 5A.8 Elbow method showing optimal $k$ at four time points in Dharamsala
Figure 5A.9 Elbow method showing optimal $k$ at four time points in Pithoragarh
Figure 5A.10 Silhouette analysis for k-means clustering at 4, 5, 6 and 7 centers in Dharamsala for
year 2002, 2010, 2016, and 2021
Figure 5A.11 Silhouette analysis for k-means clustering at 3, 4, 5 and 6 centers in Pithoragarh for
year 2001, 2008, 2016, and 2021
Figure 6A.1 Decile map of each RES (Row 1 to 4) and overlaid decile map of all RESs (Row 5)
for Dharamsala and Pithoragarh (Column 1 and Column 2, respectively)266
Figure 6A.2 Predicted vs actual LULC map 2021 in Dharamsala and outer zone
Figure 6A.3 Predicted vs actual LULC map 2021 in Pithoragarh and outer zone

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Definitions of Ecosystem Services (ESs)
Table 1.2 Definitions of Regulating Ecosystem Services (RESs)
Table 4.1 LULC Class-wise User's Accuracy (UA), Producer's Accuracy (PA), overall accuracy
(OA) and kappa coefficient for Dharamsala
Table 4.2 LULC Class-wise User's Accuracy (UA), Producer's Accuracy (PA), overall accuracy
(OA) and kappa coefficient for Pithoragarh
Table 4.3 Definitions of selected landscape metrics along with their respective category (Source:
McGarigal & Marks (1995))
Table 4.4 Formulas of selected landscape metrics (Source: McGarigal & Marks (1995)) 70
Table 5.1 Dataset and analysis tools used for estimation of RESs. (*) denotes inputs from Objective
1
Table 5.2 Net seasonal NPP of Dharamsala over the study period (2002-2021)
Table 5.3 Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) and proportion (%) of five LCR classes within urban extent in Dharamsala
over the study period (2002-2021)
Table 5.4 Net seasonal NPP of Pithoragarh over the study period (2001-2021)
Table 5.5 Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) and proportion (%) of five LCR classes within urban extent in Pithoragarh
over the study period (2001-2021)
Table 6.1 Dataset and analysis tools used for estimation of RESs. (*) denotes inputs from objective
1 while (**) denotes inputs from objective 2
Table 6.2 Synthesis of possible land use land cover trajectories differentiating the socio-economic
developments in relation to land use
Table 6.3 Agreement/disagreement based on the accuracy with which the quantity and location are
predicted for 2021 LULC in Dharamsala
Table 6.4 Areal comparison of various LULC classes under different trajectories in Dharamsala in
2021 and 2040
Table 6.5 Agreement/disagreement based on the accuracy with which the quantity and location are
predicted for 2021 LULC in Pithoragarh

Table 6.6 Areal comparison of various LULC classes under different trajectories in Pithoragarh in
2021 and 2040
Table 6.7 Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) and proportion (%) of five LCR classes within urban extent in Dharamsala
under three land use land cover trajectories for the year 2040
Table 6.8 Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) and proportion (%) of five LCR classes within urban extent in Pithoragarh
under three LULC trajectories for the year 2040
Table 4A.1 Details of satellite data used for Land use land cover map synthesis
Table 4A.2 LULC classes used in current study. Note: Here the classes with an asterisk (*) are of
both Pithoragarh and Dharamsala, except waterbody246
Table 5A.1 Five-day antecedent rainfall in Dharamsala. Data of the nearest point were retrieved
from the IMD gridded datasets
Table 5A.2 Five-day antecedent rainfalls in Pithoragarh. Data of the nearest point were retrieved
from the IMD gridded datasets
Table 5A.3 Maximum light use efficiency ( $\mathcal{E}_{(max)}$ ) values of various LULC types adopted from
(Nayak et al. (2010)
Table 5A.4 Range of RESs classes obtained using natural jenks classification scheme in
Dharamsala for 2002-2021
Table 5A.5 Range of RESs classes obtained using natural jenks classification scheme in
Pithoragarh for 2001-2021
Table 6A.1 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for 2021 in Dharamsala.
Table 6A.2 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for BAU 2040 in
Dharamsala
Table 6A.3 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for ESP 2040 in
Dharamsala
Table 6A.4 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for SED 2040 in
Dharamsala
Table 6A.5 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for 2021 in Pithoragarh.

Table 6A.6 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for BAU 2040 in
Pithoragarh264
Table 6A.7 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for ESP 2040 in
Pithoragarh264
Table 6A.8 Transitional probabilities estimated for different LULC classes for SED 2040 in
Pithoragarh265
Table 6A.9 Driving models of CORDEX-WAS-44 under RCM RegCM4-4 provided by Indian
Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IITM)
Table 6A.10 Driving models of NASA NEX GDPP climate datasets
Table 6A.11 Range of RESs classes obtained using natural jenks classification scheme in
Dharamsala for year 2040
Table 6A.12 Range of RESs classes obtained using natural jenks classification scheme in
Pithoragarh for year 2040

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI Aggregation Index

AMC Antecedent Moisture Condition

APAR Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation

ASTER Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer

BAU Business-As-Usual

CASA Carnegie Ames Stanford Approach
CBD Conservation on Biological Diversity

CICES Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services

CL City Level

CMIP5 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5

CN Curve Number

CORDEX COordinated Regional Climate Downscaling Experiment

CS Carbon Sequestration
DEM Digital Elevation Model

EPCS Ecological Priority Conservation Sites
EPRS Ecological Priority Restoration Sites

ESB Ecosystem Service Bundle

ESP EcoSystem Protection
ESs Ecosystem Services

ESTARFM Enhanced Spatial and Temporal Adaptive Reflectance Fusion Model

ETM+ Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus

FLAASH Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Hypercubes

FR Flood Regulation

GEE Google Earth Engine

GIS Geographic Information Systems

GLOCHAMORE Global Change and Mountain Regions

HSG Hydrologic Soil Group

IGBP International Geosphere-Biosphere Program

IHDP International Human Dimensions Programme on Global Environmental

Change

IHR Indian Himalayan Ranges

IITM Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology

IMD Indian Meteorological Department

IPBES Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem

Services

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

ISRO Indian Space Research Organisation

LCM Land Change Modeller

LCR Local Climate Regulation

LMs Landscape Metrics

LPI Largest Patch Index
LSI Landscape Shape Index

LST Land Surface Temperature

Edita Surface Temperate

LUE Light Use Efficiency
LULC Land Use Land Cover

MEA Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

MEs Mountain Ecosystems

MLC Maximum Likelihood Classification

MMF Morgan Morgan Finney Model

MOVING Mountain Valorisation through Interconnectedness and Green growth

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NDVI Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NEX-GDPP NASA's Earth Exchange Globally Downscaled Projections

NPP Net Primary Productivity
OLI Operational Land Imager

OZL Outer Zone Level
PD Patch Density

PES Payment for Ecosystem Services

RCP Representative Concentration Pathways

RES Regulating Ecosystem Services

SDGs Sustainable Development Goals

SEA Strategic Environmental Assessment

SED Socio-Economic Development

SER Soil Erosion Regulation

SHDI Shannon's Diversity Index

SHP\_MN Mean Shape Index

SLUSI Soil and Land Use Survey of India

TEEB The Economics of Ecosystem and Biodiversity

UK NEA United Kingdom National Ecosystem Assessment

UN United Nations

UNDESA United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs

UNEP United Nations Environment Protection







### **Last Page**

The appended material is based on research carried out at the partner institution of URGENT Project, and has potentially utilised the equipment support, inputs based on course revised/developed and training programs (*lecture series, research seminar and webinars*) through the URGENT Project.

The document is part of thesis part of PhD/MSc/MA research work carried out at the Jawaharlal Nehru University. Purposefully limited pages are shared to avoid copyright and other issues. However, the full thesis can be shared on request.

The complete thesis can be obtained from Prof P K Joshi (<u>pkjoshi27@hotmail.com</u> or <u>pkjoshi@mail.jnu.ac.in</u>).