Report for SMART School Feedback

Total 15 responses

1. Overall evaluation

	Fully agree	**Mostly agree**	**Mostly disagree**	**Strongly disagree**
I had sufficient information about the aims and content of the course.	33,33%	60%	6,67%	0%
The pre-course materials were relevant and useful.	40%	53,33%	6,67%	0%
The duration of the course was about right, neither too long, nor too short.	26,67%	66,67%	0%	6,67%
The level of the course was right for me, neither too advanced, nor too basic (including the group project).	33,33%	60%	0%	6,67%
The course was scheduled appropriately (allowed time for depth in topics covered).	20%	73,33%	0%	6,67%
The classroom atmosphere was conducive to learning.	26,67%	53,33%	13,33%	6,67%
The course employed a variety of teaching and learning activities.	33,33%	53,33%	6,67%	6,67%
The course was useful for my research/professional development.	40%	53,33%	6,67%	0%
Overall, I was satisfied with the course.	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%

2. Instructor evaluation 1/2 - Instructor demonstrates that she/he knows the subject and topic she/he talks about and is prepared for the classes. Instructor was inspiring, and presents subject matter in ways that are intellectually challenging and interesting to me. If you didn't participate in his/her class, you can leave it empty.

	Fully agree	Mostly agree	Mostly disagree	Strongly disagree
Dr. Gaspare Buffa	53,33%	40%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Francesco Filiciotto	53,33%	40%	6,67%	0%
Dr. Fabio Fiorentino	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Enrico Foti	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Anne Kull	53,33%	40%	6,67%	0%
Dr. Vincenzo Maccarrone	66,67%	26,67%	0%	6,67%
Raul Sampaio de Lima	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Prof. Hans-Peter Nachtnebel	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Rossana Parrinello	46,67%	46,67%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Daniele La Rosa	46,67%	46,67%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Pietro Scandura	53,33%	40%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Marco Torri	46,67%	46,67%	0%	6,67%

3. Instructor evaluation 2/2 - My overall impression of this instructor is positive. If you didn't participate in his/her class, you can leave it empty.

	Fully agree	Mostly agree	Mostly disagree	Strongly disagree
Dr. Gaspare Buffa	35,71%	57,14%	0%	7,14%
Dr. Francesco Filiciotto	53,33%	40%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Fabio Fiorentino	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Enrico Foti	40%	53,33%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Anne Kull	46,67%	46,67%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Vincenzo Maccarrone	60%	33,33%	0%	6,67%
Raul Sampaio de Lima	57,14%	35,71%	0%	7,14%
Prof. Hans-Peter Nachtnebel	57,14%	35,71%	0%	7,14%
Dr. Rossana Parrinello	42,86%	50%	0%	7,14%
Dr. Daniele La Rosa	46,67%	46,67%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Pietro Scandura	53,33%	40%	0%	6,67%
Dr. Marco Torri	57,14%	35,71%	0%	7,14%

4. Please add further comments on the pre-course materials and assignment (Visegrad participants), on the level of the course, and if you think the course was over or under scheduled.

➢ The level of the course is right for me, helping me learn a lot of new knowledge.
➢ I would like to suggest that group discussion is given for each topic for better understanding and for engagement with everyone involved.
➢ Providing lecture materials for easy follow-up.
➢ I wish that the materials is pass on earlier before the lecture, so that we can at least knew the overview of the lecture and think of a question to ask if there is any. But overall all the courses is interesting and insightful. It is wonderful to be able to join.
➢ It would helpful if more pre-course materials were uploaded before the class. So that we can have a preview and some initial knowledge before the class starts.

5. Please explain in what way the course was useful. Mention the most important things you learnt, and a few ideas that you think you will be able to use from what you've learned when you return home. If the course has helped you with your work, please specify in what way. If the course was not useful, please explain why not.

➢ I'm really able to follow the class by Dr. Anne Kull. May be I'm too slow to catch up. Perhaps it's difficult to run software in virtual class. We unable to do hands on exercise. And I'm a biologist, so not really follow the lecture with all series of generate equations and so on. Since I'm expected information on the fisheries resources sustainability, so I'm ok with that information.
➢ Understanding the need for resilient coastal communities and fishing stock.
➢ It helped me to get out of the bubble and learned different methods used in other countries for research while also connecting with other researchers from different countries.
➢ The course helped me form a new research group and apply the knowledge of the course to our team to carry out our project.
➢ The course was useful for my research development.
➢ New method/approach and technologies was presented by experts which is helpful in many ways not only for my academic but for my future career too.
➢ The ways and methods used in maintaining coastal communities and fishing stock. For example, drone and GIS application, integrated coastal zone management, etc. I also learnt new and interesting things like underwater noise pollution and navigation locks.
➢ I like the lecture on ecosystem services and trade-offs, I hope to get ideas for my research.
➢ Kind City of Coastal.
➢ Personally, I love the talk from Mr Raul, as his talk is the most interesting and could be applied to my study especially the drone usage and remote sensing tools.
➢ I am from Mechanical engineering. Although I have a general idea of sustainability, I lack on the knowledge of sustainable development of the coastline. The course was able to give me some ideas on sustainable development and how to proceed with

such ideas, such as development planning. It also give me a lot of knowledge about the sustainable development of marine related activities. I have me a lot of insight to this field and I think it will be helpful for expanding my further research on this field.

6. What were the strengths and weaknesses of the SMART School?

- ➢ Strengths: multidisciplinary courses, weakness: I need more classes :)
- ➢ Strength - Course outline and Experts.
- ➢ Weakness - Cross learning through participants due to online platform.
- ➢ The school was very good, it was just as it is was conducted online, the time of the lecture were a bit hard to keep focus due to the time differences.
- ➢ local time is difficul for Viet Nam.
- ➢ The strengths of this SMART School is the spirit of sharing knowledge/ experience by the organizer and the instructors. The only weakness is time zone difference which is unavoidable and of course face to face classroom will be better.
- ➢ Strength : Researchers are expert in their filed and provide detailed information to students.
- ➢ Weakness: The flow could be improved, especially the discussion part.
- ➢ Strengths: Learn a lot of new knowledge.
- ➢ My internet was bad.
- ➢ Strengths would be all the speaker is very knowledgeable and all courses has its own unique appeal to the audience to take part in during listening and questions and answering.
- ➢ Weaknesses would be the schedule timeline after all the courses is finished. The independent group time is very messy as everyone is hard to gather due to differences in time zone and I wish we can stick to the schedule for the final presentation as other schedule has been set but due to the postponing of the final presentation many people cannot be present and other schedule had to be cancel.
- ➢ It covers a wide range of topics and given me a lot of knowledge of different fields and sectors. It is a bit regretful that this course is conducted online. It will be much more pleasure to meet all the people face to face. Hope we can meet in the future.

7. In your opinion, what would be useful improvements to the course?

- ➢ Multidisciplinary is good but sometimes difficult to catch up when you are not in the field. General information is good but someone may hope for more. It's difficult to satisfy everybody. Overall I enjoyed along this course.
- ➢ Detailed knowledge on modelling.
- ➢ More discussion and face to face classroom.
- ➢ To have a more engaging discussion for the discussion and project part.
- ➢ Face to face.
- ➢ If the courses is being held online again, I wish the schedule would be fixed and changes should be mention earlier and not on the last minute notice. But if the courses is offline, I'm sure that these problems won't occur and the school would be much more enjoyable.
- ➢ More pre-course materials uploaded before the class.

8. Please comment on any of the organisational aspects of the program.

➢ Very well organized and conducted.
➢ Little discussion.
➢ Thank you so much for all of your hard work. I wish to join again in the future preferably in person to the Mazara. Take care and stay healthy everyone!
➢ I think it is well organized as a online course. Proper guidance and full support was achievable.

9. Faculty: Dr. Gaspare Buffa. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

➢ Good presentation.
➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
➢ Excellent!
➢ Good presentation.
➢ Insightful presentation.

10. Faculty: Dr. Francesco Filiciotto. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

➢ Good presentation.
➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
➢ Perspicuous.
➢ Excellent!
➢ Very interesting presentation.
➢ Insightful presentation.

11. Faculty: Dr. Fabio Fiorentino. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

➢ Good presentation. The information useful for my future studies.
➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
➢ Perspicuous.
➢ Excellent!
➢ Good presentation.
➢ Informative presentation.

12. Faculty: Dr. Enrico Foti. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

➢ Good presentation.
➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
➢ Perspicuous.
➢ Excellent!
➢ Good presentation.
➢ Informative presentation.

13. Faculty: Dr. Anne Kull. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

➢ Good presentation.
➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
➢ Perspicuous.

- ➢ Excellent! I gained new knowledge on QGIS.
- ➢ A bit monotonous, could be more engaging. Anyway nice information.
- ➢ Very helpful with the GIS system. Hope that more time is given on the class.

14. Faculty: Dr. Vincenzo Maccarrone. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation. The information useful for my future studies.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent! Thank you for your fruitful information, guidance and supports.
- ➢ Very interesting presentation.
- ➢ Informative presentation.

15. Faculty: Raul Sampaio de Lima. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation. Really enjoyed your session.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent! I gained many useful information especially on satellite data and remote sensing.
- ➢ Very interesting presentation, learnt a lot about the application of drone.
- ➢ A lot of insightful information on the remote sensing. Would be good if we were able to see the usage in real life.

16. Faculty: Prof. Hans-Peter Nachtnebel. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent!
- ➢ Very interesting presentation with new perspective and knowledge.
- ➢ Informative presentation.

17. Faculty: Dr. Rossana Parrinello. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent!
- ➢ Nice sharing, interesting to know "kindness" has been officially part of science.
- ➢ Insightful presentation.

18. Faculty: Dr. Daniele La Rosa. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent!

- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Insightful presentation.

19. Faculty: Dr. Pietro Scandura. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent!
- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Insightful presentation.

20. Faculty: Dr. Marco Torri. Please add your comments on the instructor here.

- ➢ Good presentation. The information useful for my future studies.
- ➢ Clear and detailed presentation on the topic.
- ➢ Perspicuous.
- ➢ Excellent!
- ➢ Good presentation.
- ➢ Insightful presentation.