





Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Excellent
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours Reflection evaluation on field visit 	Excellent
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated		Excellent
4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of curriculum objective sustainable mountain development	 Encouragement of Off-campus learning Textbook has the instructor recommendation Platform for outside textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.) 	Excellent
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Excellent
6.	Appropriateness of Course Contents for imparting adequate knowledge of Sustainable Development of Mountain Areas to Students		Very Good
7.	Overall Assessment		Excellent













8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1. 2.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc. Credits score of the project	Excellent
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder including members of the project team.	1. 2.	Based on any form of survey Curricula meets national academic policy	Excellent

Signature: Name: Ms. Jagriti Dabas Position: CEO Address: ARMS 4 AI Email: <u>admin@arms4ai.com</u> Phone: +91 9818193452











Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome 	Very Good Very Good
		 Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Very Good
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill 	Very Good
		 Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours 	Very Good
		 Reflection evaluation on field visit 	Very Good
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated		Very Good
4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of curriculum objective	 Encouragement of Off-campus learning Textbook has the 	Very Good Very Good
		instructor recommendation 3. Platform for outside	Very Good
		textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.)	Very Good
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Very Good
6.	Appropriateness of course contents for imparting adequate knowledge to Students		Very Good
7.	Overall Assessment		Excellent











8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc.	Good
		2.	Credits score of the project	Very Good
			project	
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder	1.	Based on any form of survey	Good
	including members of the project team.	2.	Curricula meets national academic	Very Good
			policy	

Signature

Dr. G. Areendran Director-IGCMC (Remote sensing & GIS) Coordinator- ENVIS (MoEF & CC) WWF-India. 011-41504791 Email: <u>gareendran@wwfindia.net</u>











Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome 	Excellent Very Good
		 Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Very Good
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill 	Excellent
		 Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours 	Very Good
		 Reflection evaluation on field visit 	Good
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated		Excellent
4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of curriculum objective	 Encouragement of Off-campus learning Textbook has the instructor 	Excellent Very Good
		recommendation 3. Platform for outside textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.)	Excellent
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Excellent
6.	Appropriateness of course contents for imparting adequate knowledge to Students		Excellent
7.	Overall Assessment		Excellent













8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc. Credits score of the project	Not Applicable Very Good
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder including members of the project team.		Based on any form of survey Curricula meets national academic policy	Not Applicable Very Good

Roper Routeles

Signature: Name: Dr Roopam Shukla Position: Assistant Professor Address: Centre for Excellence in Disaster Mitigation and Management, IITR, Roorkee Email: roopam.shukla@dm.iitr.ac.in Phone: +91-9582504912











Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome 	Very Good Very Good
		 Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Very Good
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill 	Very Good
		 Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours 	Very Good
		 Reflection evaluation on field visit 	Very Good
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated		Very Good
4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of curriculum objective	 Encouragement of Off-campus learning Textbook has the instructor recommendation Platform for outside textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.) 	Very Good
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Very Good
6.	Appropriateness of course contents for imparting adequate knowledge to Students		Very Good
7.	Overall Assessment		Very Good











8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1. 2.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc. Credits score of the project	Very Good Very Good
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder including members of the project team.		Based on any form of survey Curricula meets national academic policy	Good Very Good

Name: Dr K J Singh Position: Director Address: The Innovative Institute for Natural Resources Environment and Community (TIINEC), New Delhi Email: <u>tiinecindia@gmail.com</u> Phone: +91 – 9968091574











Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome 	Good (stress more on Environment and Climate change)
		 Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Good
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill 	Good
		 Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours Reflection evaluation on field 	Good
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated	visit	Very Good
3. 4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of	1. Encouragement of Off-campus learning	Very Good
	curriculum objective	 Textbook has the instructor recommendation 	Very Good
		 Platform for outside textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.) 	Very Good
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Very Good
6.	Appropriateness of course contents for imparting adequate knowledge to Students		Very Good
7.	Overall Assessment		Good













8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1. 2.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc. Credits score of the project	Fair
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder including members of the project team.		Based on any form of survey Curricula meets national academic policy	Good Very Good

Signature: Name: Prof. T P Singh Position: Director Address: Symbiosis Institute of Geoinformatics (SIG), Pune Email: <u>director@sig.ac.in</u> Phone: 020 - 25672842











Stakeholders' Assessment of Revised and New MA/MSc Courses

Revised/New Course

Environment, Climate Change and Occupational Health

SNo	Assessment Criteria	Summative Indicator	Observations
1.	Appropriateness of Course Contents to cater the learning outcomes and skills development of the students at ground level	 Curriculum content aligns to baseline Survey output Expected skill set as part of learning outcome Assessment frequency prescribe in curriculum 	Excellent
2.	Positioning of courses in Curricula for combining science with practices	 Experimentation credits attach to the defined skill Encouraging the Learning integrated work practices; field visits in hours Reflection evaluation on field visit 	Excellent
3.	Suitability of Marks Allocated		Very good
4.	Suitability of recommended Teaching Learning Material in context of curriculum objective sustainable mountain development	 Encouragement of Off-campus learning Textbook has the instructor recommendation Platform for outside textbook learning (Journals, Research Paper etc.) 	Excellent
5.	Suitability of the assessment procedure to support transferable skills among stakeholders		Excellent
6.	Appropriateness of Course Contents for imparting adequate knowledge of Sustainable Development of Mountain Areas to Students		Excellent
7.	Overall Assessment		Excellent

619050-EPP-1-2020-1-DE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP












8.	Compatibility between course syllabus and rationale and goals of the project.	1.	Curriculum meets URGENT project theme e.g. urban forestry etc. Credits score of the project	Very good
9.	Designing the syllabus in collaboration with the relevant stakeholder including members of the project team.	1. 2.	Based on any form of survey Curricula meets national academic policy	Excellent



Signature: Name: Dr Yogesh Gokhale Position: Sr Fellow & Area Convener Address: Centre for Forest Management & Governance, TERI, New Delhi Email: <u>yogeshg@teri.res.in</u> Phone: +91 11 2468 2100



